



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,316	06/20/2003	Jordi Albornoz	POU920030117US1	6085
7590 05/01/2007 John E. Campbell			EXAMINER	
IBM Corporation 2455 South Road, P386 Poughkeepsie, NY 12601			ÁRANI, TAGHI T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2139	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,316	ALBORNOZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Taghi T. Arani	2139
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration. and 63 is/are rejected. 62 is/are objected to.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	· ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2139

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 –63 have been examined and are pending

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's Amendment and arguments are fully considered and are persuasive.

A new ground(s) of rejection is presented in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art of record to Gupta (US 6,546,406), and further in view of WO 03/0428 67.

3. Claims 1-3, 38-40, 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US patent 6,546,405 to Gupta et al. (Hereinafter "Gupta").

As per claims 1, 38 and 51, Gupta teaches a method, a computer product and a computer system for annotating a data object, the data object consisting of digital data, the method comprising the steps of (Abstract, Fig. 1 and associated text, col. 4, lines 25-51):

Art Unit: 2139

creating a first digital fingerprint value of the data object (col. 12, lines 58-66, see also fog. 4 and associated text, where a globally unique identifier (GUID) is assigned to multimedia document, see also col. 14, lines 23-42, where GUID is a concatenation of an IP address, a time stamp and a random number),

storing the data object at a first location (col. 12, lines 35-41, where multimedia documents are stored in server computer systems 100B-D, see also Fig. 1 A); creating a first annotation object (col. 4, lines 52-63, where a temporal annotation objected added to a displayed multimedia document, see also col.7, lines 17-44, where a temporal annotation manager creates a temporal annotation and that (col. 1, lines 31-32, a user can create multiple temporal annotation entries);

Gupta teaches associating the data object to the annotation object via a globally unique identifier and saving in an annotation store the relationship between the data object and the annotation object (col. 14, lines 58, identifier filed 402 of temporal annotation database 150 contains GUID and/or an RTP address representing RTP address of multimedia document 140, i.e. database 150 contains identifier field 402 and RTP address filed 404 relating the GUID and the annotation with the multimedia document, see also col. 12, lines 58-66), Gupta fails to teach creating a first digital fingerprint value of the data object creating a first relationship relating the first digital fingerprint value to the first location; creating a second relationship relating the first digital fingerprint value to the first annotation object.

However, WO 03/042867 is directed to a method of updating a database comprising a fingerprint and an associated set of metadata for each of a number of

Art Unit: 2139

metadata (Abstract), comprising networking means for receiving a computed fingerprint and a set of metadata (annotation object), verifying means for determining whether the computed fingerprint is present in the database, and if so, associating the obtained set of metadata with said fingerprint (page 4, lines 12-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the teachings of WO 03/042867 in Gupta's annotating multimedia content for creating a digital fingerprint value of the data object and creating a relationship relating the digital fingerprint value to the annotation object in order to obtain advantages in storing fingerprints for multimedia objects in a database e instead of the multimedia content itself (WO 03/042867, page 1, lines 23-29).

As per claims 2, 39 and 52, Gupta as modified teaches the method, the computer product and the computer system according to claims 1, 38 and 51 respectively, wherein the first digital fingerprint value is created from any one of the digital data of the object, the digital data of one or more portions of the object, the digital data of the object combined with other digital data or the digital data of the location of the object (WO 03/042867, page 1, lines 1-5).

As per claims 3, 40, and 53, Gupta as modified teaches the method, the computer program product and the computer system according to claims 1, 38 and 51 respectively, wherein the digital fingerprint value is created using any one of a checksum algorithm, a cyclic redundancy check, a hash algorithm, the SHA-256 secure hash algorithm, the SHA-1 secure hash algorithm or the MD5 message digest algorithm (WO 03/0428867, page 1, lines14-15).

Art Unit: 2139

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 6-9, 13, 43-46, 49, 56-59, and 63 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 03/042,867 (IDS filed 08/19/2005).

As per claims 6, 43 and 56, WO 03/042867 teaches a method, a computer program product and a system for accessing an annotated data object, the method comprising the steps of:

obtaining a first digital fingerprint value for a data object (page 3, lines 16-20);

finding any one of a first annotation object having a relationship to the first digital fingerprint value or the data object having a relationship to the first digital fingerprint value (the temporal annotation database is retrieved based on the unique identifier); and retrieving any one of the first annotation object or the data object (page 3, lines 21-25).

As per claims 7, 44 and 57, WO 03/042867 teaches the method, the computer program product and the system according to claims 6, 43 and 56 respectively, wherein the finding step comprises the further step of querying an annotation store for an entry containing the first digital fingerprint value and a first relationship of the first digital fingerprint to any one of an annotation object or the location of the data object (page 3,

Art Unit: 2139 -

lines 26-28, where the server determines a definite set of metadata associated with a particular, fingerprint).

As per claims 8, 45 and 58, WO 03/042867 teaches method, the computer program product and the system according to claims 6,43 and 56 respectively, wherein the obtaining step comprises the further step of any one of: calculating the first digital fingerprint value of the data object; or querying an annotation store for a second annotation object and the first digital fingerprint associated with the second annotation object (page 4, lines 12-18).

As per claims 9, 46 and 59, WO 03/042867 teaches a method, a computer program product and a system for accessing a data object, the method comprising the steps of (Abstract);

retrieving at a first location a first digital fingerprint value of a first data object, a first annotation object related to the first digital fingerprint (page 3, lines 16-20), and a first identity of a second location related to the first digital fingerprint, the second location comprising location of the data object (page 5, lines 15-23, where other clients can browse the combined list in the server directory and then contact the client (second location) that has the object) retrieving the data object from the second location; and relating the first annotation object with the data object retrieved (page 5, line 15-23, where the client obtains (download) list from the client directory, page 8, once crawler has computed fingerprint for the multimedia object, and has obtained a set of metadata for the multimedia object, it includes fingerprints and set of metadata in a database).

Art Unit: 2139

As per claims 13, 49 and 63, WO 03/042867 teaches a method, a computer program and a system for annotating a data object, the method comprising the steps of:

associating a digital fingerprint value of a first data object with a first location of the first data object (page 3, lines 16-20);

associating the digital fingerprint value of the first data object with a second location of a second data object; and associating the first data object with the second data object using the digital fingerprint value of the first data object (page 3, lines 26-28, where server determines a definite set of metadata associated with a particular fingerprint).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim Claims 4-5, 10-12,41-42, 47-49, 54-56 and 60-62 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taghi T. Arani whose telephone number is (571) 272-3787. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2139

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TAGHI ARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/36/07